REMARKS

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of June 23, 2006.

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

The Office Action

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1- 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Pine Harbor in the article "How to Build a Shed," Copyright 2000 (hereinafter Harbor).

Claims 7-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Harbor and U.S. Patent No. 6,321,243 issued to Ballard.

The Non-Art and Art Rejections

Although Applicants respectfully disagree with portions of the Examiner's Response to Arguments In the Office Action of June 23, 2006, Applicants have canceled claims 1-9 and take this opportunity to add new claims 10-20 in order to more clearly claim aspects of the present application and in order to address some of the issues stated in the Examiner's Response to Arguments, but without adding new matter. Each of the claims is intended to be directed toward statutory subject matter and to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as their invention. More specifically, system claim 16 includes the necessary hardware for the recited functionality to be realized, and none of the claims make use of the terms "understood" or "understandable" which the Examiner argues cannot be given reasonable meaning.

New independent claim 10 is based on now canceled claim 6 and is directed to a method for creating glyph instructions by a glyph generating system. The claim now, however, is more specifically directed to a method suitable for implementation on a system

which translates a user input written instruction and creates ordered glyphs which represent glyph instructions based on the written instruction. More specifically, the claim recites limitations for identifying inputted words and phrases, matching the words and phrases to previously stored words and phrases, and syntactically ordering glyph images based on a type designation and an ordering designation associated with the stored words and phrases. Applicants submit that these recited features of the claim are not taught in the cited references.

New independent claim 12 is also directed to a method for creating glyph instructions by a glyph generating system. Claim 12, however, is directed to a method suitable for implementation on a system which displays pluralities of glyphs for selection by a user in a more manual process. Both of the subject method claims are directed toward generating glyph instructions for a person to follow in performing a process but which are independent of any particular written language. Applicants submit that the recited features of claims 10 and 12 are not taught in the cited references.

New independent claim 16 is directed to a pictographic system which essentially implements the methods set forth in claims 10 and 12.

Applicants submit that all claims remaining in the present application are in condition for allowance. It is further submitted by Applicants that the aforementioned new claims do not introduce any new matter beyond that included in the application as originally filed. The amendments have been made only to more clearly recite the claimed features.

Claims 10-20 remain in this application.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 10-20) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Mark S. Svat, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

Data Data

Joseph D. Dreher, Reg. No. 37,123 Mark S. Svat, Reg. No. 34,261

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579

(216) 861-5582

N:\XERZ\200422\U\$\GTY0000371V001.doc